

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/554,230	10/25/2005	Norbert Stampfl	AT 030026	7950	
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 01/12/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			HOANG, SON T		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/554,230	STAMPFL, NORBERT		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SON T. HOANG	2165		

	SON T. HOANG	2165						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
Into Ref. I ritled to a united y 2003 PAILS OF DECEMBER APPLICATION IN CONTINUATION ALLOWANCE. 1. All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To a varied abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal (e) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31); or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above; if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp								
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. \(\subseteq \text{For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \(\text{Mone}\). Claim(s) objected to: \(\text{Mone}\).		be entered and an e	planation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
Management of the request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
	/Neveen Abel-Jalil/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2165						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's amendment filed on January 5, 2009 is found to be eligible and has been entered.

Applicant's arguments towards the claims have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The Final Office action mailed on November 4, 2008 is hereby sustained.

Applicant's argument towards claims 1, 7, and 19 regarding the fact that Addington does not teach or disclose "storing an arrived content as stored content while an associated metabata associated with the arrived content is still being analyzed, or whaviling arrived lother associated metadata, and "discarding the stored content if the associated metadata," and "discarding the stored content does not satisfy the predefined criteria."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above remarks. Accordingly, Addington teaches storing an arrived content as stored content while an associated metadata associated with the arrived content is still being analyzed, or while awaiting arrived for the associated metadata (if the pre-authored metadata is sent during or after the segment broadcast has started and the segment is being cached in a live spool 210e at the headend, the segment is packaged from the live spool 210e and sent to the personal video exchange server 210e. In other words, if permitted by the asset provided 10, he live spool 210e stores a portion of the broadcast(0.034), it is clear that when a segment is being broadcasted, a portion of the segment is stored in the live spool while waiting for the segment's metadata to arrive in order to send the segment to the personal video exchange server.

Addington further teaches discarding the stored content if the associated metadata indicates that the useful information of the stored content does not satisfy the predefined criteria (the asset provider 10 may provide stream metadata that modifies this first-in-first-out algorithm of the live spool 210e. For example, an asset provider 10 can send an instruction to the live spool 210e to save a portion of a broadcast stream in the live spool 210e for a specified period of time. Thus, the saved portion of the broadcast stream in the live spool 200e when new content arrives, [0034]). It is deer that metadata controls the storage age of a saved portion of a broadcast stream in the live pool. When the storage age predefined by the metadata is expired, the saved portion of the broadcasted segment will be deleted.

/Son T Hoang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2165